

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,192	12/02/2003	Simon Robert Walmsley	PEA17US	4559
24011 SILVERBROO	7590 04/21/200 OK RESEARCH PTY I	EXAM	EXAMINER	
393 DARLING	STREET	KHOSHNOODI, NADIA		
BALMAIN, 20 AUSTRALIA	141	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2137		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/727,192	WALMSLEY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NADIA KHOSHNOODI	2137		

•	LAUIIIIICI	ALCO IIIC				
	NADIA KHOSHNOODI	2137				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
by ∑ The period for reply exprise on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner hole: If box 1 is checked, check their box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TV						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN 1WO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earmed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee to action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter						
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	ithin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further contains. 			cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		E below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided below or appended.					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	ntice of Anneal will not	t he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for	allowance			
See Continuation Sheet.						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:						
(Emmanuel I Maiss/						
/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2137	/Nadia Khoshnoodi/ Examiner, Art Unit 2137					

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants have amended claim 1 to recite "applying an one way function to both that secondary entity's identifier and the first secret information..." here texaminer interprets this limitation as being equivalent to the previous recitation of the limitation "applying a one way function to that secondary entity's identifier and the first secret information..." Furthermore, Applicants submit that Spies fails to teach/suggest applying a one way function to both the secondary entity's identifier and the first secret information. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Spies teaches that a credential includes issuer information as well as identifying information (col. 10, line 61 - col. 11, line 6). Spies further teaches that the credential includes issuer information as well as identifying information (col. 10, line 61 - col. 11, line 6). Spies further teaches that the credential is hashed/encrypted for transmission and to allow future authentication/validation (col. 9, lines 3-29 and col. 11, lines 7-20). Therefore, it is the Examiner's conclusion that the claims, as presented, are not patentably distinct from prior art of records.